





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/910,661	07/20/2001	Vishnu K. Agarwal	500431.04	3239
27076	7590 03/10/2003			
DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP			EXAMINER	
SUITE 3400	JAL PROPERTY DEPART	MENT	GOUDREAU, GEORGE A	
1420 FIFTH A SEATTLE, W.	· · · - -		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			1763	18
			DATE MAILED: 03/10/2003	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			AS.1
	Application No.	Applicant(s) Applicant(s) Applicant(s) Applicant(s) Applicant(s) Applicant(s)	l
and the Commons	09-91066	Group Art Unit	
Office Action Summary	(Les va C	and read [763	
—The MAILING DATE of this communication app			ddress—
eriod for Reply	\sim		
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SI	ET TO EXPIRE	MONTH(S) FROM THE MA	VILING DATE
			EIV (6) MONTHS
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the 	ys, a reply within the statutory default, expire SIX (6) MONTH by statute, cause the applicat the mailing date of this comm	y minimum of thirty (30) days will be cons IS from the mailing date of this communition to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. nunication, even if timely, may reduce any	ication. § 133). reamed patent
tatus Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL.	03/(le-fa	per# 15-17)-	
 Since this application is in condition for allowance of accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle 	-,		
Claim(s) 68-72-78-79-81-8 Of the above claim(s)	9	is/are pending in the ar	oplication.
Claim(s) 6 7 7 3 7 6 7 7 3		is/are withdrawn from o	consideration.
Of the above claim(s)		is/are allowed.	
Claim(s) 2778-7981-	29	is/are rejected.	
Claim(s) 60-12		is/are objected to.	
Of the above claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s)		are subject to restriction	on or election
		requirement	
Application Papers ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is appro	oved disapproved.	
	re objected to by the Exa	Timo	
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	•		
$\ \square$ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exan	niner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d)		110 (a)Jd)	
□ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 0.5.0. §	119 (a) (a).	
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the:	n hoon received		
 □ Certified copies of the priority documents have □ Certified copies of the priority documents have 	e been received in Applic	ation No	
 □ Certified copies of the priority documents have □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority do 	ocuments have been rece	eived	
□ Copies of the certified copies of the priority at in this national stage application from the Inte	ernational Bureau (PCT Ru	ule 17.2(a))	
in this national stage application from the line *Certified copies not received:			·
Attachment(s)	Paner No(s).	☐ Interview Summary, PTO-41	3
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449,	1 apoi 110(0).	□ Notice of Informal Patent Approximation	
□ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892	с РТО-948	☐ Other	
□ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review,			
	Office Action Summary	1	
			1

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 11/00) Part of Paper No. ___

Application/Control Number: 09/910,661

Art Unit: 1763

- 15. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 68-72, 78-79, and 81-89 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the references as applied in paragraph 18 of the previous office action.
- 17. Applicant's arguments filed 2-24-03' have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The applicant argues the following points regarding the examiner's rejection of their claimed subject matter.

-Applicant argues that their newly presented apparatus claims now distinguish over the prior art of record based upon the types of wafers which are processed through their cmp apparatus.

The examiner must disagree.

-The wafers which are processed through applicant's claimed apparatus are not part of the apparatus since the cmp apparatus which is claimed by the applicant may be used to process other types of substrates than those which are claimed by the applicant. Further, the cmp apparatuses taught by the prior art used to reject applicant's apparatus claims are inherently capable of processing the types of wafers which are claimed by the applicant.

Art Unit: 1763

The prior art apparatuses employ mass spectrometers to detect, and measure the quantity of elements in the cmp slurry effluent. The mass spectrometer taught would have been inherently capable of detecting the presence of any element including those which are detected by applicant (i.e.-W, Al, or Cu). That is to say although the prior art apparatuses specifically teach the usage of their mass spectrometers to monitor the quantity of P or Ti ions in the cmp slurry effluent, the mass spectrometers taught would inherently have been capable of detecting the presence of other elements such as W, Al, or Cu.

- 18. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner George A. Goudreau whose telephone number is (703) -308-
- 1915. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 9:30 to 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor. Examiner Gregory Mills, can be reached on (703) -308-1633. The appropriate fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) -306-3186.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) -308-0661.

George A. Goudreau/gag

Primary Examiner

AU 1763